Case 2:16-cv-01110-RCJ-PAL Document 18 Filed 07/08/16 Page 1 of 3

1	Reza Mirzaie, Esq.		
2	(Pro Hac Vice to be submitted) rmirzaie@raklaw.com		
3	Paul S. Kroeger, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted)		
	pkroeger@raklaw.com		
4	Stanley H. Thompson, Jr., Esq. (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted)		
5	sthompson@raklaw.com C. Jay Chung, Esq.		
6	(Pro Hac Vice to be submitted)		
7	jchung@raklaw.com RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT		
8	12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025		
9	Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Facsimile: (310) 826-6991		
	, ,		
10	Mark Borghese, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6231		
11	mark@borgheselegal.com BORGHESE LEGAL, LTD.		
12	10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 T: (702) 382-0200		
13			
14	F: (702) 382-0212 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	DISTRICT OF I	CEVADA	
17	2-WAY COMPUTING, INC., a Nevada) Case No.: 2:16-cv-01110-RCJ-PAL	
18	corporation,		
19		UNOPPOSED MOTION AND	
20	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND) DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO	
21	vs.) RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
22		(SECOND REQUEST)	
	GRANDSTREAM NETWORKS, INC., a	,	
23	Delaware corporation,))	
24	Defendant.)	
25	Plaintiff 2-Way Computing, Inc. ("Plaintiff") hereby files this Unopposed Motion and		
26	[Proposed] Order to Extend Deadline for Defendant to Respond to the Complaint in accordance		

28

27

with LR IA 6-1 and LR IA 6-2. This is the second request to extend the proposed deadline.

Case 2:16-cv-01110-RCJ-PAL Document 18 Filed 07/08/16 Page 2 of 3

The first request for an extension, requesting a fifteen (15) day extension until July 12, 2016, was made on June 27, 2016 and has not yet been ruled on by this Court (Docket #8). This second request is for an additional fifteen (15) days (30 days total) until July 27, 2016.

This second request is being made after the original June 27, 2016. The failure to file this motion before the deadline was the result of excusable neglect as at the time the original request was made it was not known that the fifteen (15) days in the initial request would be insufficient time to discuss settlement and allow for Defendant to investigate the claims of the Complaint and obtain Nevada counsel.

The Complaint (Doc. 1) was filed on May 17, 2016 and was served on Grandstream Networks, Inc. on or about June 6, 2016. The current deadline to respond to the Complaint was June 27, 2016.

The parties believe that the litigation of this matter will be best served by allowing thirty (30) days beyond the original June 27, 2016 deadline to discuss possible settlement of this matter and allow Defendant additional time to investigate the claims of the Complaint and obtain Nevada counsel. Because an attorney for Defendant has not made an appearance in this case, Plaintiff files this motion to extend Defendant's deadline as an unopposed motion.

```
///
```

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 | ///

20 | ///

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 || ///

///

8 ||

28

Case 2:16-cv-01110-RCJ-PAL Document 18 Filed 07/08/16 Page 3 of 3

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant its request to continue		
2	the deadline for Defendant to respond to the Complaint until July 27, 2016.		
3			
4		BORGHESE LEGAL, LTD.	
5		/s/ Mark Borghese	
6	DATED: <u>July 8, 2016.</u>	Mark Borghese, Esq.	
7		10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	
8		Russ, August & Kabat	
9		Reza Mirzaie, Esq. Stanley H. Thompson, Jr., Esq. 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor	
10		Los Angeles, California 90025	
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12	<u>ORDER</u>		
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
16			
17			
18	DATED: July 20, 2016	_	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

27

28